

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,052	03/30/2004	Shinichi Nagaoka	Q80742	1298
	23373 7590 08/03/2007 EXAMINER SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1732	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/812,052	NAGAOKA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jill L. Heitbrink	1732	/
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National St	age
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Integritario Cimara	(PTO 412)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/812,052

Art Unit: 1732

Double Patenting

Page 2

1. In response to Applicant's filing of the Terminal Disclaimers, the provisional rejection on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yu et al. Pat. No. 6,096,088.
- 4. Yu (col. 1, lines 11-25 and col. 13, lines 28-44) discloses determining optimum gate locations and processing condition by performing simulation to analyze proposed shapes and injection points which can predict the location of weld lines and air traps. These analyses are used for the required determination of the injection mold pressure limits for the injection molding machine (col. 1, lines 18-23). Yu (col. 3, lines 1-18)

Application/Control Number: 10/812,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

discloses linking the flow analysis from the injection points and providing time steps which would be a time sequence.

- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Friedl et al. Pat. No. 6,816,820.
- 6. Friedl (see abstract, col. 1, lines 31-57 and col. 33, lines 29-32) discloses the determining of the number and location of the gates using a numerical analysis and the pressures for filling and packing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Yu et al. Pat. No. 6,096,088 or Friedl et al. Pat. No. 6,816,820 in view of Norton Pat. No. 6,454,973.
- 9. Norton (col. 1, lines 46-67) teaches the well known problems that are overcome by using time sequenced valve gates in injection molding for providing proper fill of the cavity and optimum clamp tonnage. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the flow analysis simulations of Yu or Friedl for determining the desired fill sequence and clamping force (pressure) since these are commonly optimized in the injection molding process parameters.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,052 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see bottom of page 6 and the top of page 7, filed July 5, 2007, with respect to 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

The rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 has been withdrawn.

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed July 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant argue that Yu and Friedl do not disclose "obtaining mold design parameters by combination of a numerical analysis method for calculating an injection molding process and a computer-aided optimization method" and that Yu and Friedl use experience about an injection molding and trial and error and needed to obtain optimized parameters. Friedl clearly uses numerical analysis and computer-aided optimization which is described at col. 1, lines 31-57 and col. 5, line 28-col. 6, line 37. Yu clearly uses numerical analysis and computer-aided optimization which is described at col. 13, lines 12-43.
- 13. Applicant argues that Norton is only directed to opening and closing a single gate. However, Norton discloses multiple gates at col. 1, lines 46-67.
- 14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1732

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,052

Art Unit: 1732

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732

jlh